July 6, 2001

Ms. Kimberly Mickelson Olson & Olson Three Allen Center 333 Clay Street, Suite 3485 Houston, Texas 77002

OR2001-2899

Dear Ms. Mickelson:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 149668.

The City of Seabrook (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for city council transcripts for the months of March and April 2001 and tapes for the city council meetings from December 2000 through April 2001. You state that the city has no transcripts of the meetings. You claim that the submitted tapes are excepted under sections 552.101 and 552.103 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." This section encompasses information protected by statute. Section 551.104(c) of the Government Code provides that "[t]he certified agenda or tape of a closed meeting is available for public inspection and copying only under a court order issued under Subsection (b)(3)." (emphasis added). Thus, such information cannot be released to a member of the public in response to an open records request. See Open Records Decision No. 495 (1988). Therefore, the city must withhold the tapes of closed meetings pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 551.104(c) of the Government Code.

¹The Public Information Act (the "Act") only applies to information in existence at the time of the request. See Gov't Code §§ 552.002, .021, .227, .351. The Act does not require a governmental body to prepare new information in response to open records requests. Open Records Decisions Nos. 452 (1986), 342 (1982). Furthermore, the Act does not ordinarily require a governmental body to obtain new information to comply with a request. Open Records Decision No. 561 (1990). However, a governmental body must make a good faith effort to relate a request for information to information which it holds. Id.

However, section 551.002 of the Government Code expressly provides that "[t]he minutes and tape recordings of an open meeting are public records and shall be available for public inspection and copying on request to the governmental body's chief administrative officer or the officer's designee." When a statute expressly makes information public and mandates the release of the information, that information cannot be withheld from disclosure under one of the exceptions in Subchapter C of chapter 552 of the Government Code. See Open Records Decision No. 451 (1986) (specific statute that affirmatively requires release of information at issue prevails over litigation exception of Public Information Act). Therefore, the city must release the tapes of the open meetings to the requestor. Gov't Code § 551.002; see also Attorney General Decision JM-1143 (1990) (tape recordings of meeting of governmental body produced as aid in preparing minutes are expressly subject to Open Records Act); Open Records Decision No. 221 (1979) (board minutes of school district cannot be excepted under section 3(a)(3) [statutory predecessor to section 552.103] under any imaginable circumstances).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. Id. § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the General Services Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Bialek

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

Jemila Brakeh

JHB/sdk

Ref: ID# 149668

Enc: Submitted tapes

c: Ms. Dolores R. Carmier

Legal Secretary

Zimmerman, Axelrad, Meyer, Stern & Wise, P.C.

3040 Post Oak Boulevard, Suite 1300

Houston, Texas 77056

(w/o enclosures)